

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/453,234	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BUECHLER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1636	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Applicants filed an Appeal Brief.

(1) Joe Liebeschuetz.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 March 2004

**Time:** 3:30 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner contacted Mr. Liebeschuetz to propose minor amendments as they appear in Examiner's amendment, so that the application is in conditions for allowance. Mr. Liebeschuetz accepted the proposed amendments.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)